IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE
Civil Writ Petition No.3842 of 2006

Bhiva Bhau Patil — Petitioner
Vs.
Smt.Shewanta N. Kamble — Respondents

Mr.S.M.Kamble for petitioner.
Ms.Jayashri P. Akalkar for respondent nos.1 and 2.

CORAM: B.H.MARLAPALLE,J.

June 26, 2006.

P.C.

. Heard Mr.Kamble, the learned counsel for the petitioner and Mrs.Akalkar, the larned counsel for the respondent nos.1 and 2. The application filed at Exhibit 5 in Regular Civil Suit No.90 of 2005 was allowed by the trial Court and Misc.Civil Appeal No.121 of 2005 filed by the defendants challenging the said order has been allowed by the lower Appellate Court by the impugned order dated 20th March 2006 and consequently the application at Exhibit 5 filed in the suit stands rejected. However, in the petition memo the petitioner made an averment that the temporary injunction was continued till 6th May 2006 and now Mr.Kamble has pointed out on the basis of the certified true copy of the order dated 6/5/2006 that the said interim stay has been continued upto 12/6/2006. Under these circumstances,

it would be in the interest of both the parties that the pending suit is expedited and they maintain status quo in respect of the cultivation of the suit land till the decision of the Suit.

. The petition is, therefore, disposed off by directing expeditious trial of Regular Civil Suit No.90 of 2005 and the suit be decided as per law. During the pendency of the suit the parties shall maintain status quo as on 12th June 2006.

(B.H.MARLAPALLE,J.)